

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

TUESDAY, THE 10TH JULY 2007 / 19TH ASHADHA 1929

Con.Case (C) .No. 694 of 2007 (S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.6081/2002 Dated 17/06/2003
.....

PETITIONER:

N.K.SUBAIDA, AGED 32 YEARS,
W/O ABOOBACKER, MANAKADAVAN HOUSE,
POOMALA P.O., MANICHIRA, SULTHAN BATHERI.

BY ADV. SRI.M.SASINDRAN

RESPONDENTS:

SRI.GOPALAN, AGED AND FATHERS NAME
NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, TAHSILDAR,
SULTHAN BATHERI TALUK, WYNAD DISTRICT.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 10/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN, J.
=====
CON. CASE NO. 694 OF 2007
=====
DATED THIS, THE 10TH DAY OF JULY, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The complaint of the petitioner is that the direction contained in Annexure A1 judgment is not complied with by the respondent.

2. The respondent has filed an affidavit. In para three of the said affidavit, it is stated that the direction contained in the judgment has been complied with and pattayam has been issued to the petitioner on 2.1.2007. Copy of the said pattayam is produced as Ext.R1 along with the affidavit filed by the respondent. He has also rendered unconditional apology for the delay in issuing pattayam.

Since the direction has been complied with and the respondent has rendered unconditional apology for the delay in passing the order, this contempt case is closed.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

knc/-